

Regulatory Alert

Regulatory Insights

November 2023

DOJ Safe Harbor Policy for Voluntary Self-Disclosures in Mergers & Acquisitions

Regulatory Insights:

- --- "Compliance Now or Pay Later": Highlights the need to invest in thorough and timely compliance due diligence activities both prior to and following deal closure.
- Avoiding the Recidivist Rap: The voluntary disclosure of identified misconduct within the 6-month Safe Harbor period will lead to a presumption of a declination and will not factor into future recidivist analysis for the acquiring company.
- Considerations for overall M&A Strategy: Companies should factor the Safe Harbor Policy into their overall M&A strategies and ensure sufficient resources are dedicated to assessing compliance controls prior to deal closure and conducting expanded and targeted testing following closure to ensure they benefit from voluntary self-disclosure incentives.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) announced a new <u>Safe</u> <u>Harbor Policy</u> for voluntary self-disclosures (VSD) made in connection with mergers and acquisitions (M&A). The policy aligns with, and builds upon, previous DOJ actions, including:

- The Deputy Attorney General's September 2022 memorandum (Monaco Memo – see KPMG's Regulatory Alert, <u>here</u>).
- The DOJ Criminal Division's revision of its Corporate Enforcement Policy (see KPMG's Regulatory Alert, <u>here</u>).
- DOJ's initiatives on compensation and voluntary selfdisclosures (see KPMG's Regulatory Alert, <u>here</u>).

Similar to these prior actions, which seek a "mix of incentives and deterrence", the new Safe Harbor Policy is intended to promote and incentivize voluntary self-disclosures from companies, hold individual wrongdoers accountable, and in so doing, prevent "good companies – those that invest in strong compliance programs – from being penalized for lawfully acquiring companies when they do their due diligence and discover and self-disclose misconduct." The Safe Harbor Policy is outlined below.

M&A Safe Harbor Policy

Application

- Department-wide, with each Division tailoring application to specific enforcement regimes.
- Only applies to criminal conduct discovered in bona fide, arms-length M&A transactions, and does not extend to misconduct that was otherwise required to be disclosed, already public, or known to DOJ.

Qualification

- Companies must disclose misconduct discovered at the acquired entity within six (6) months from the date of closing, subject to a reasonableness analysis.
- Companies have a baseline of one (1) year from the date of closing to fully remediate the misconduct.

Policy

 Acquiring companies that promptly and voluntarily disclose criminal misconduct (discovered during the M&A



1

process) within the Safe Harbor period can receive a presumption of declination.

- This requires the companies to cooperate with the ensuing investigation and engage in timely and appropriate remediation, restitution, and disgorgement.
- Acquired entities can qualify for applicable VSD benefits, including potentially a declination, unless aggravating factors exist. Aggravating factors at the acquired company will not impact the acquiring company's ability to receive a declination.
- Misconduct disclosed under the Safe Harbor Policy will not affect any recidivist analysis at the time of disclosure or in the future.

DOJ and FTC Draft Merger Guidelines

DOJ and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) jointly released draft merger guidelines outlining their process for reviewing M&A transactions to determine compliance with federal antitrust laws.

The draft comprises thirteen (13) guidelines that are intended to better reflect how DOJ and FTC determine a merger's effect on competition in the modern economy and evaluate proposed mergers under the applicable laws and regulations. The draft guidelines are listed in the table.

The agencies will use both the public comments on the draft guidelines and feedback from public workshops (with academics, economists, practitioners, and former enforcement officials) to evaluate, update, and finalize the guidelines.

Draft Guidelines	
1	Mergers should not significantly increase
	concentration in highly concentrated markets.
2	Mergers should not eliminate substantial competition
	between firms.
3	Mergers should not increase the risk of coordination.
4	Mergers should not eliminate a potential entrant in a
1	concentrated market.
	Mergers should not substantially lessen competition
5	by creating a firm that controls products or services
	that its rivals may use to compete.
6	Vertical mergers should not create market structures
	that foreclose competition.
7	Mergers should not entrench or extend a dominant
	position.
8	Mergers should not further a trend toward
	concentration.
	When a merger is part of a series of multiple
9	acquisitions, the agencies may examine the whole
	series.
10	When a merger involves a multi-sided platform, the
	agencies examine competition between platforms, on
	a platform, or to displace a platform.
11	When a merger involves competing buyers, the
	agencies examine whether it may substantially lessen
	competition for workers or other sellers.
12	When an acquisition involves partial ownership or
	minority interests, the agencies examine its impact on
	competition.
13	Mergers should not otherwise substantially lessen
	competition or tend to create a monopoly.

For more information, please contact Amy Matsuo, Matthew McFillin, or Jaime Pego.

Contact the author:



Amy Matsuo Principal and **National Leader Regulatory Insights** amatsuo@kpmg.com



Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

All information provided here is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such

information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the facts of the particular situation The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.



2